

Math 542

Instructor: Chenxi Wu

Email: cwu367@wisc.edu

Office Hours: TuWed 1-2pm Van Vleck 517, or by appointment

## 13.6

**Definition.** The  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial is the monic polynomial

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{1 \leq j < n, \gcd(j,n)=1} (x - e^{\frac{2j\pi}{n}})$$

**Theorem.**  $\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

*Proof.* By induction.  $\Phi_1(x) = x - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose  $\Phi_k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  for all  $k$ ,

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{\prod_{1 \leq d < n, d|n} \Phi_d(x)}$$

which by long division of monic polynomials is in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . □

**Theorem.**  $\Phi_n$  are irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  (hence also in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq j < n$  such that  $\gcd(j, n) = 1$ ,  $\zeta = e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{n}}$ ,  $p$  prime and  $p \nmid n$ . Let  $f(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\Phi_n(x) = f(x)g(x)$ , and  $\zeta^p$  is either a root of  $f$  or a root of  $g$ .  $f$  and  $g$  are both in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  due to Gauss's Lemma.

- Firstly we show that  $\zeta^p$  can not be a root of  $g$ .
  - If so, there is  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $g(x^p) = f(x)h(x)$  (Gauss's Lemma).
  - Pass to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we get

$$(\bar{g}(x))^p = \bar{g}(x^p) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{h}(x)$$

Hence  $q(x) = \gcd(\bar{f}(x), \bar{g}(x))$  has degree  $> 0$ .

- This implies that  $\bar{\Phi}_n(x) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{g}(x)$  has a  $(q(x))^2$  factor, which implies that  $x^n - 1$  has a  $(q(x))^2$  factor. This contradicts with the fact that  $\gcd(x^n - 1, nx^{n-1}) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .
- Let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ . For any  $1 \leq j < n$  such that  $\gcd(j, n) = 1$ ,  $j = \prod_k p_k$  where  $p_k$  are primes that don't divide  $n$ . Repeatedly use the first step one gets  $e^{\frac{2j\pi i}{n}}$  is also a root of  $f$ , hence  $f = \Phi_n$ .

□

**Remark.** As a consequence,  $[\mathbb{Q}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}] : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n)$ .

## 14.1

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a field,  $F$  a subfield.

- $Aut(K)$  is the set of automorphisms (isomorphisms from  $K$  to itself) of  $K$ .
- $Aut(K/F)$  is the set of automorphisms of  $K$  which when restricted to  $F$  is identity.

**Example.** We can calculate  $Aut(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ : for any  $\sigma \in Aut(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\sigma(a+bi)$  (where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ) equals  $a + b\sigma(i)$ , and

$$-1 = \sigma(-1) = \sigma(i^2) = \sigma(i)^2$$

, Hence  $\sigma(i) = \pm i$ ,  $\sigma$  is either  $z \mapsto z$  or  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ .

The following properties are obvious:

**Theorem.** •  $Aut(K)$  and  $Aut(K/F)$  are groups under composition.

- $Aut(K/F)$  is a subgroup of  $Aut(K)$ .
- Any element in  $Aut(K)$  preserves the prime field ( $\mathbb{F}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ )  $k$  contained in  $K$ . In other words  $Aut(K) = Aut(K/k)$ .

□

The argument we used in the example above can be summarized as:

**Theorem.** • If  $\alpha \in K$  be the root of a polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , then so is  $\sigma(\alpha)$  for any  $\sigma \in Aut(K/F)$ .

- If  $K = F(\alpha)$ , then  $\sigma \in Aut(K/F)$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha)$ .

□

Furthermore we have:

**Theorem.** If  $K = F(a)$ ,  $[K : F] < \infty$ , there is a bijection from  $Aut(K/F)$  to the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $a$  in  $K$ .

*Proof.* The previous theorem implies that this map is well defined and injective. We only need to show surjectivity. Suppose  $a'$  is a root of the minimal polynomial  $f(x)$  of  $a$ , then  $K \cong F[x]/(f)$  and we identify the two by sending  $a$  to  $x$ . Now define a map  $\sigma' : K[x] \rightarrow K$  by sending  $x$  to  $a'$ , then

$$\ker(\sigma') = \{g \in F[x] : g(a') = 0\} \supseteq (f)$$

Hence  $\sigma'$  induces a homomorphism from  $K$  to  $K$ , which is identity when restricted to  $F$  and sends  $a$  to  $a'$ . Because  $K$  is a field it must be injective, and because  $K$  is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space it must be bijective. □

As a consequence we have:

**Example.** •  $Aut(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}) = \{id, (\sqrt{3} \mapsto -\sqrt{3})\}$

- $Aut(\mathbb{Q}(3^{1/3})/\mathbb{Q}) = \{id\}$
- $\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^3 + x + 1)$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is the root of  $x^3 + x + 1$ , then so is  $\alpha^2$  and  $\alpha^4 = \alpha^2 + \alpha$ , hence  $Aut(\mathbb{F}_8/\mathbb{F}_2)$  is a cyclic group of order 3.
- $Aut(\mathbb{C}(x)/\mathbb{C}) = \{x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} : ad - bc \neq 0\} \cong PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$
- Let  $K$  be the splitting field of  $x^3 - 2$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $Aut(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_3$ , the isomorphism can be obtained via permuting the three roots of  $x^3 - 2$ .

**Definition.** Let  $S \subset Aut(K/F)$ , the **fixed field** is

$$K^S = \{a \in K : \sigma(a) = a \text{ for all } \sigma \in S\}$$

It is easy to check that:

**Theorem.** •  $K^S$  is a subfield of  $K$ .

- If  $S \subseteq S'$ , then  $K^{S'} \subseteq K^S$ .
- If  $F \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K$  is a sequence of subfields, then  $Aut(K/K_2) \subseteq Aut(K/K_1)$ .
- $F \subseteq K^{Aut(K/F)}$
- $Aut(K/K^S) \supseteq \langle S \rangle$

□

**Definition.** Let  $K/F$  be a finite extension. If  $K^{Aut(K/F)} = F$ , we call  $K/F$  a **Galois extension**, and  $Aut(K/F)$  the **Galois group**.

## 14.2

A key theorem for automorphism group of finite extension is the following:

**Theorem.** If  $G \subseteq Aut(K)$  is a finite subgroup, then  $[K : K^G] = |G|$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $[K : K^G] < |G|$ . Let  $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ , then these  $n$  elements are  $K$ -linearly dependent as functions from  $K$  to  $K$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  are  $K$ -linearly independent and  $\sigma_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sigma_i$ , where  $c_i \in K$ . Then for any  $x, y \in K$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sigma_i(x) \sigma_i(y) = \sigma_{k+1}(xy) = \sigma(x) \sigma(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k c_i c_j \sigma_i(x) \sigma_j(y)$$

Hence for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$c_i \sigma_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_i c_j \sigma_j(x)$$

which implies that at most one  $c_i$  is non-zero, and the non-zero one must be 1, a contradiction.

Suppose  $[K : K^G] > |G|$ . Let  $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ , then there must be  $n + 1$   $K^G$ -linearly independent elements in  $K$ , denote them as  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ . Consider the vectors  $v_i = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(x_i) \\ \dots \\ \sigma_n(x_i) \end{bmatrix} \in K^n$ , these  $n + 1$  vectors must be  $K$ -linearly dependent. Without loss of generality, suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are  $K$ -linearly independent, and  $v_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$ . Then  $c_i$  can not all be in  $K^G$  due to the fact that  $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$  are  $K^G$ -linearly independent. Suppose  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(c_1) \neq c_1$ , then  $v_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(c_i) v_i$ , hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - \sigma(c_i)) v_i = 0$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark.** The first part of the proof above also showed that if  $[K : F] < \infty$  then  $|Aut(K/F)| \leq [K : F]$ , and the elements of  $Aut(K/F)$  must be  $K$ -linearly independent.

**Remark.** The theorem above implies that When  $[K : F] < \infty$ ,  $K/F$  is Galois iff  $|Aut(K/F)| = [K : F]$ .

**Example.** •  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/4})/\mathbb{Q}$  is not Galois,  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/4})^{Aut(\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/4})/\mathbb{Q})} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}(2^{1/4})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is Galois.

- Cyclotomic extensions over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are all Galois.
- $((\mathbb{F}_2(x))[y]/(y^2 - x))/(\mathbb{F}_2(x))$  is not Galois.

**Theorem.** A finite extension  $K/F$  is Galois iff it is the splitting field of some separable (i.e.  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ ) polynomial.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  : Suppose  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , without loss of generality assume that it has no roots in  $F$ . If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$ , let  $p(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  in  $F$ , which has  $d$  roots  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \dots, \alpha_d$ . By extensions of isomorphisms of splitting fields,  $Aut(K/F)$  acts on  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  transitively, hence  $|Aut(K/F)| = d|Aut(K/F(\alpha))|$ , which because  $p$  is separable, equals  $[F(\alpha) : F]|Aut(K/F(\alpha))|$ . Induction on  $[K : F]$  one gets the conclusion.

$\Rightarrow$  : Let  $\{w_i\}_{i=1, \dots, [K:F]}$  be a  $F$ -basis of  $K$ , consider the set  $S = \{\sigma(w_i) : \sigma \in Gal(K/F), 1 \leq i \leq [K : F]\}$ , and  $f = \prod_{s \in S} (x - s)$ .  $f$  is evidently separable as it has all roots with multiplicity 1, and coefficients of  $f$  are symmetric functions on the elements of  $S$ , and by construction any element in the Galois group permutes elements of  $S$  hence won't change  $f$ , hence  $f \in F[x]$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** The theorem above implies that if  $K/F$  is a finite Galois extension,  $E$  is a subfield of  $K$  that contains  $F$ , then  $K/E$  is also a Galois extension.

**Theorem.** (Theorem 14 in Dummit & Foote, Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory) Let  $K/F$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$ . There is a bijection from the set of subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  and subfields of  $K$  that contains  $F$  defined by  $H \leq G \mapsto K^H \subseteq K$ ,  $E \subseteq K \mapsto \text{Aut}(K/E) < G$ , such that if  $E = K^H$ ,  $E' = K^{H'}$  then

1.  $H \leq H'$  iff  $E' \subseteq E$ .
2.  $[K : E] = |H|$ ,  $[E : F] = |G/H|$ .
3.  $K/E$  is always Galois,  $\text{Gal}(K/E) = H$ .
4.  $E/F$  is Galois iff  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , in which case  $\text{Gal}(E/F) \cong G/H$ . In general, embeddings of  $E$  into  $K$  that preserves  $F$  are in 1-1 correspondence with cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .
5.  $E \cap E' = K^{\langle H, H' \rangle}$ .

*Proof.* • Firstly show bijection. It is evident that for any  $H \leq G$ ,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(K/K^H)$ . However  $|\text{Aut}(K/K^H)| \leq [K : K^H] = |H|$ , hence they are equal. On the other hand, if  $E$  is a subfield of  $K$  that contains  $F$ , then  $K/E$  is Galois, hence  $E = K^{\text{Aut}(K/E)}$ .

- 1, 5 are obvious, 2, 3 follows from the fact that  $K/E$  is Galois. For 4, consider the  $G$ -action on the set of embeddings of  $E$  into  $K$  by  $\sigma \cdot i = \sigma \circ i$ . The fact that this action is transitive is due to the extension of isomorphisms of splitting fields, and 4 follows.

□

## Midterm 2 Review

Topics covered:

- Splitting fields (13.4)
- Separability (13.5)
- Cyclotomic polynomial (13.6)

Practice problems:

- Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $p$  prime number,  $K$  be the splitting field of  $x^6 - 1$ . What is  $[K : F]$ ?
- Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_3(t)$ ,  $f \in F[x]$  monic with degree 3,  $K$  the splitting field of  $f$ . Find  $f$  such that  $[K : F] = 1, 2, 3, 6$ .
- Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $K/F$  a finite extension. Show that if  $p \nmid [K : F]$  then  $K/F$  is separable.

## 14.7

### Galois group of generic polynomials

**Definition.** Let  $k$  be a field, a polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is called **symmetric** if it is invariant under permutation of  $x_i$ . The **elementary symmetric polynomials**  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are defined by

$$\prod_i (x - x_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} e_{n-i} x^i$$

In other words,

$$e_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq n} \prod_{k=1}^i x_{j_k}$$

**Theorem.** (Fundamental Theorem of symmetric polynomial) Let  $k$  be a field, then any symmetric polynomial over  $k$  can be uniquely written as a polynomial of the elementary symmetric polynomials. In other words, the sub-ring of symmetric polynomials in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is isomorphic to polynomial ring  $k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  by  $t_i \mapsto e_i$ .

**Remark.** A common proof is via Noether normalization lemma. Another proof is outlined in the exercises of Dummit & Foote.

*Proof.* Define a linear order  $<_1$  on  $\mathbb{N}^n$  (seen as set of degrees of a monomial  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$ ) as:

$$(d_1, \dots, d_n) <_1 (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$$

iff

$$d_i < d'_i \text{ and } d_j = d'_j \text{ for all } j < i$$

another linear order  $<_2$  such that

$$(d_1, \dots, d_n) <_2 (d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$$

iff

$$(d_1 + \dots + d_n, d_2 + \dots + d_n, \dots, d_n) <_1 (d'_1 + \dots + d'_n, d'_2 + \dots + d'_n, \dots, d'_n)$$

Then one can verify the following:

1. If  $f$  is a symmetric polynomial in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then the leading non-zero term under  $<_1$  is of the form  $a_{d_1, \dots, d_n} x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$  where  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ .
2. If  $f, g$  are in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , the leading non-zero term (under  $<_1$ ) of  $fg$  is the product of the leading non-zero terms of  $f$  and of  $g$ .

3. It follows from statement 2. above, that the leading non-zero term of  $e_1^{d_1} \dots e_n^{d_n}$  under  $<_1$  is

$$x_1^{d_1+\dots+d_n} x_2^{d_2+\dots+d_n} \dots x_n^{d_n}$$

4. Statement 3. above implies that if the leading term of  $g$  under  $<_2$  is  $b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ , then the leading term of  $g(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  under  $<_1$  is

$$b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1+\dots+i_n} x_2^{i_2+\dots+i_n} \dots x_n^{i_n}$$

Now given any symmetric polynomial  $f$ , statement 1 above implies that the leading term of  $f$  under  $<_1$  is of the form

$$f_{d_1, \dots, d_n} x_1^{d_1} \dots x_n^{d_n}$$

and  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots d_n$ . Statement 3 above implies that the leading term of

$$f - f_{d_1, \dots, d_n} e_1^{d_1-d_2} e_2^{d_2-d_3} \dots e_n^{d_n}$$

under  $<_1$  is lower than the leading term of  $f$ . Because there are only finitely many  $n$ -tuples  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  that satisfy  $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n$  smaller than any element in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  under  $<_1$ , repeating the procedure one would eventually terminate, hence  $f$  can be written as  $g(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  where  $g$  is a polynomial.

On the other hand, statement 4 above implies that the leading coefficient of  $g$  under  $<_2$  must be identical to the leading coefficient of  $f$  under  $<_1$ . Let this leading term of  $g$  be  $m$ , then carry out the same argument on  $f - m(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  and  $g - m$ , we can show that all coefficients of  $g$  are uniquely determined by coefficients of  $f$ , hence the uniqueness.  $\square$

**Example.** The proof above provides an algorithm to write a symmetric polynomial as polynomials of  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . For example, when  $n = 3$ ,  $f = x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3$ . Leading term under  $<_1$  is  $x_1^3$ , hence subtract by  $1 \cdot e_1^{3-0} e_2^{0-0} e_3^0 = e_1^3$ , we get

$$f - e_1^3 = -3x_1^2x_2 - 3x_1^2x_3 - 3x_2^2x_1 - 3x_2^2x_3 - 3x_3^2x_1 - 3x_3^2x_2 - 6x_1x_2x_3$$

Now leading term is  $-3x_1^2x_2$ , subtract by  $-3 \cdot e_1^{2-1} e_2^{1-0} e_3^0 = -3e_1e_2$ , we get

$$f - e_1^3 + 3e_1e_2 = 3x_1x_2x_3$$

So

$$f = e_1^3 - 3e_1e_2 + 3e_3$$

An immediate consequence is the following:

**Theorem.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $F = k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ,  $K$  the splitting field of  $x^n + t_1x^{n-1} + \dots + t_n$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/F) = S_n$ .  $\square$

## Nested Roots and Solvable extension

**Theorem.** If  $F$  is characteristic 0 and has all primitive  $n$ -th root of unity (e.g.  $F = \mathbb{C}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ),  $K$  is the splitting field of irreducible polynomial  $x^n - a \in F[x]$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $x^n - a$  in  $K$ ,  $\zeta$  be a primitive root of 1, then the roots of  $x^n - a$  are  $\zeta^k \alpha$ , where  $0 \leq k < n$ , and Galois group elements are  $\sigma_k(\alpha) = \zeta^k \alpha$  where  $0 \leq k < n$ . It is easy to see that this group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $F$  is char 0 and has all primitive roots of unity.  $K/F$  a finite Galois extension,  $L$  splitting field of irreducible polynomial  $x^n - a \in K[x]$ , then there is a finite extension  $L'/K$ , such that  $L \subseteq L'$ ,  $L'/F$  is Galois, and  $\text{Gal}(L'/K)$  is abelian.

*Proof.* Let  $g \in F[x]$  such that  $K$  is the splitting field of  $g$ , let  $A = \{\sigma(a)\}$  be the orbit of  $a$  under  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ , then  $\prod_{a' \in A} (x^n - a')g(x) \in F[x]$ , let  $L'$  be its splitting field over  $F$ , then  $L \subseteq L'$ .

For any  $a' \in A$ , let  $\alpha_{a'}$  be a root of  $x^n - a'$  in  $L'$ , then any element of  $\text{Gal}(L'/K)$  sends  $\alpha_{a'}$  to some  $\zeta_{a'}^k \alpha_{a'}$ , here  $\zeta \in F$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. This gives an injection from  $\text{Gal}(L'/K)$  to  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^{|A|}$ , hence  $\text{Gal}(L'/K)$  is abelian.  $\square$

**Definition.** A group  $G$  is called **solvable** if there is a finite sequence of nested subgroups

$$0 = G_n \leq G_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq G_0 = G$$

Such that  $G_{k+1}$  is normal in  $G_k$  and  $G_k/G_{k+1}$  are all abelian.

**Remark.** Solvability is closed under subgroups, quotients and extensions. As a consequence, if  $\alpha$  can be written down using arithmetic operations, elements in  $F$  as well as nested  $k$ -th roots, (more precisely, if  $\alpha$  is an element in a finite extension which is a finite composition of extensions of the form  $(K[x]/(x^k - a))/K$ ) then  $\alpha$  lies in some finite Galois extension where the Galois group is solvable.

**Example.** The roots of  $x^5 + t_1x^4 + t_2x^3 + t_3x^2 + t_4x + t_5$  can not be written using constants,  $t_i$  and taking successive roots. Because if otherwise, the splitting field of this polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}(t_1, \dots, t_5)$  will be a quotient of a solvable group hence solvable, and  $S_5$  has a normal subgroup  $A_5$  which is a finite simple group.

## Cyclic extensions

**Theorem.** If  $F$  has characteristic 0, contains all primitive  $n$ -th roots of unity,  $K/F$  a Galois extension and  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  is a cyclic group of order  $n$ . Then  $K$  is the splitting field of a polynomial of the form  $x^n - a$  where  $a \in F$ .



*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $F$ ,  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ . Because the elements of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  are  $K$ -linearly independent, there is some  $\alpha \in K$  such that the **Lagrange Resolvent**

$$(\alpha, \zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta^j \sigma^j(\alpha) \neq 0$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sigma(\alpha, \zeta) = \zeta^{-1}(\alpha, \zeta)$$

Hence

$$(\alpha, \zeta)^n \in F$$

Let  $a = (\alpha, \zeta)^n$ , then the splitting field of  $x^n - a$  over  $F$  is  $F((\alpha, \zeta))$ . On the other hand, any non-identity element in  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  does not fix  $(\alpha, \zeta)$ , hence  $F((\alpha, \zeta)) = K$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** It is evident that for any  $n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ ,  $(\alpha, \zeta)^n \in F$ . By linear algebra,  $\alpha$  can be solved from the values of  $(\alpha, \zeta)$  where  $\zeta$  goes through all  $n$ -th root of unity. This shows that if  $F$  has all roots of unity and  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  is solvable, then elements in  $K$  can be written using elements in  $F$ , arithmetic operations and successive  $k$ -th roots.

## Final Review

- If  $K/F$  finite, then  $|\text{Aut}(K/F)| \leq [K : F]$ .
- Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , then  $[K : K^G] = |G|$ .
- Let  $K/F$  be a finite extension. The followings are equivalent:
  - $F = K^{\text{Aut}(K/F)}$
  - $|\text{Aut}(K/F)| = [K : F]$
  - $K$  is the splitting field of some separable polynomial.

and when any of these is true we say  $K/F$  a Galois extension, and call  $\text{Aut}(K/F)$  the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ .

- Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Practice Problems:

1. Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois, calculate its Galois group, and show that the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  has degree 4.

**Answer:** This is the splitting field of separable polynomial  $(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$ . The extension is of degree 4 because  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . Any element in the Galois group permutes the two roots of  $x^2 - 2$  and the

two roots of  $x^2 - 3$ , and is determined by its action on these 4 roots, hence  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q})/\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ .  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  is not fixed by any non-zero element of the Galois group, hence can not lie in any intermediate fields between  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ , hence its minimal polynomial must have degree 4.

2. Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $K$  the splitting field of  $x^p - 2$ , find  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Answer:**  $K = \mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, \sqrt[p]{2})$ , and the minimal polynomial of  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$  and  $\sqrt[p]{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  have degrees  $p-1$  and  $p$  respectively, hence  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq p(p-1)$ . Because  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}})$  and  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2})$  are both subfields of  $K$ ,  $[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}] = p-1$ ,  $[K_2 : \mathbb{Q}] = p$ , both  $p-1$  and  $p$  are factors of  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , hence  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p(p-1)$ .

Let  $z_k = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{p}} \sqrt[p]{2}$ , then  $\{z_k : 0 \leq k < p\}$  are all the roots of  $x^p - 2$ , and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(z_0, z_1)$ , hence an element in  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is uniquely determined by its action on  $z_0$  and  $z_1$ . On the other hand, because  $x^p - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,  $\sigma$  has to send  $z_0$  and  $z_1$  to some  $z_i$  and  $z_j$  respectively, and  $i \neq j$ . Hence we can label the elements of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  by a tuple  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ , such that  $i \neq j$ , denoted as  $\{\sigma_{i,j}\}$ . By computation it is easy to see that the identity element is  $\sigma_{0,1}$  and the multiplication operation is  $\sigma_{i,j}\sigma_{i',j'} = \sigma_{i'(j-i)+i, i+j'(j-i)}$ .

3. Let  $K$  be the splitting field of  $(x^3 - 2)(x^3 - 3)$ , find  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Answer:** By an argument similar to 2 above we get  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 18$ . Let  $z_k = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} \sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $z'_k = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} \sqrt[3]{3}$ , then any element of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is uniquely determined by its action on the sets  $Z = \{z_0, z_1, z_2\}$  and  $W = \{w_0, w_1, w_2\}$ , i.e.  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq S_Z \times S_W \subseteq S_{Z \cup W}$ . There are  $3! \times 3! = 36$  elements in  $S_Z \times S_W$  however, but if we impose an extra restriction, that  $\sigma(z_1)/\sigma(z_0) = \sigma(w_1)/\sigma(w_0)$ , will cut this number down to 18. In conclusion,  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_{Z \cup W} = S_6$  generated by  $(z_1, z_2)(w_1, w_2)$ ,  $(z_0, z_1, z_2)$  and  $(w_0, w_1, w_2)$ .

4. Show that if  $K/F$  and  $K'/F$  are two Galois extensions, and there is an isomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow K'$  which is identity when restricted to  $F$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/F) \cong \text{Gal}(K'/F)$ .

**Answer:** Define group homomorphism  $\text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'/F)$  by  $\sigma \mapsto f\sigma f^{-1}$ , and group homomorphism  $\text{Gal}(K'/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/F)$  by  $\sigma \mapsto f^{-1}\sigma f$ , then these two homomorphisms are inverses of one another hence are both isomorphisms.

5. Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_2(t)$  where  $t$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Let  $K$  be the splitting field of  $x^6 + x^2 + t$  over  $F$ . Find  $\text{Aut}(K/F)$  and  $K^{\text{Aut}(K/F)}$ .

**Answer:** Firstly we find the splitting field of  $x^6 + x^2 + t$ . By Gauss's Lemma we can show that this is an irreducible polynomial (it doesn't have root in  $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$  hence no factor of degree 1. If it has a factor of degree 2, there are  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[f]$  such that  $(x^2 + a_1x + a_0)(x^4 + b_3x^3 + b_2x^2 + b_1x + b_0) = x^6 + x^2 + t$ . Compare

coefficients one get  $b_3 = a_1$ ,  $b_2 = a_0 + a_1^2$ ,  $b_1 = a_1^3$ , so  $a_1 b_0 + a_1^3 a_0 = 0$ , and  $a_0 b_0 = t$ , that's not possible. Similarly it can not have degree 3 factor).

Let  $K_1$  be  $F$  adjoining one root  $\alpha$  of  $x^6 + x^2 + t$ , then  $K_1 = \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$  and  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . In  $K_1$  we have  $x^6 + x^2 + t = (x + \alpha)^2(x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^2 + 1)^2$ , so to get the splitting field we need to add a root of  $x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^2 + 1$ , denoted as  $\beta$ . Now  $K = F(\alpha, \beta)$ , and the three roots of  $x^6 + x^2 + t$  are  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta$ .

Elements of  $\text{Aut}(K/F)$  are uniquely determined by their action on this set of 3 roots, so it is a subgroup of  $S_3$ .  $\text{Aut}(K/K_1)$  is a subgroup of order 2, and we also know that there are elements of  $\text{Aut}(K/F)$  that sends  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  or  $\alpha + \alpha$ , so  $\text{Aut}(K/K_1) \cong S_3$ .

$$K^{\text{Aut}(K/F)} = F(\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta)).$$

6. Suppose  $K/F$  is a finite Galois extension. Show that so is  $K(t)/F(t)$  and these two extensions have the same Galois group.

**Answer:** Let  $g \in F[x]$  be a separable polynomial where  $K$  is its splitting field, then  $K(t)/F(t)$  is also the splitting field of  $g \in (F(t))[x]$ , and  $g$  is separable in  $(F(t))[x]$  due to long division and Euclid's algorithm for gcd.

Any element in  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  induces an element in  $\text{Gal}(K(t)/F(t))$ , by applying  $\sigma$  to all coefficients. On the other hand, any  $\sigma' \in \text{Gal}(K(t)/F(t))$  has to send  $t$  to  $t$  and elements of  $K$  to other elements of  $K$  (because those are all the elements of  $K(t)$  which are algebraic over  $F$ ). This shows that  $\sigma'$  must be induced by some element in  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ .

7. Write down a Galois extension  $K/F$  such that  $\text{Gal}(K/F) \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ . Let  $a \in K$ ,  $m_a$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a$  in  $F[x]$ , what are the possible degrees of  $m_a$ ?

**Answer:** Let  $F = \mathbb{C}(t_1, t_2)$  be the field of rational functions with 2 parameters. Let  $K$  be the splitting field of  $(x^3 + x + t_1)(x^3 - t_2)$ . Let  $K_1$  be the splitting field of  $x^3 + x + t_1$  and  $K_2$  be the splitting field of  $x^3 - t_2$ , then both  $K_1/F$  and  $K_2/F$  are Galois, hence  $\text{Gal}(K/K_1)$  and  $\text{Gal}(K/K_2)$  are two normal subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  whose product is the whole group (because  $K_1 \cap K_2 = F$ ) and intersection is 1 (because  $K$  is the smallest subfield of  $K$  that contains both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ ), hence  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  is the product of these two groups. By calculation and problem 6 above these two groups are  $\mathbb{Z}/3$  and  $S_3$  respectively.

The possible degrees of  $m_a$  are just the possible degrees of extensions  $K'/F$  where  $K'$  is a subfield of  $K$ , in other words possible indices of subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ . These are: 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 18.