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Enrollment: 27
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## University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: $1=\mathrm{SD}$ (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), $3=\mathrm{N}$ (Neutral), $4=\mathrm{A}$ (Agree), $5=\mathrm{SA}$ (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | SD | D | N | A | SA | Resp | Section | Course | Level | Dept |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The instructor Chenxi Wu was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 4.75 | 4.52 | 4.55 | 4.37 |
| The instructor Chenxi Wu responded effectively to student comments and questions. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 4.63 | 4.23 | 4.47 | 4.25 |
| The instructor Chenxi Wu generated interest in the course material | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.27 | 4.09 |
| The instructor Chenxi Wu had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 4.88 | 4.53 | 4.57 | 4.36 |
| The instructor Chenxi Wu assigned grades fairly. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4.75 | 4.21 | 4.29 | 4.16 |
| The instructional methods of Chenxi Wu encouraged student learning. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 4.63 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.08 |

## Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

| I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Chenxi Wu as: | P | F | A | G | E | Resp | Section | Course | Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dept |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: $1=S D$ (Strongly Disagree), $2=\mathrm{D}$ (Disagree), $3=\mathrm{N}$ (Neutral), $4=\mathrm{A}$ (Agree), $5=\mathrm{SA}$ (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | SD | D | N | A | SA | Resp | Section | Course |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Level | Dept |
| :--- |
| I learned a great deal in this course. |
| I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to <br> take this course. |

## Course Quality

Weight of responses: $1=\mathrm{P}$ (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | P | F | A | G | E | Resp | Section | Course | Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I rate the overall quality of the course as: | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4.13 | 4.19 | 4.20 |

## What do you like best about this course?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

| Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| good man |
| Different types of sets and their proof |
| Professor is very helpful and patient |

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?
These comments are intended for all instructors.

## Comments

Nothing much

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor Chenxi Wu encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

These comments are unique to the instructor Chenxi Wu.

```
Comments
Made me learn a whole lot! I will apply this heavily on other proof based math classes
Very kind and nice professor. Very helpful
```


## Other comments or suggestions:

These comments are intended for all instructors.

## Comments

Nothing much to suggest. Very good lecturer! I would take him Again for any class.

